1	DAYLE ELIESON
2	United States Attorney District of Nevada
	PHILLIP N. SMITH, JR.
3	Assistant United States Attorney
4	Nevada State Bar No. 10233
4	501 Las Vegas Boulevard South Suite 1100
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
	702-388-6336
6	phillip.smith@usdoj.gov
7	Attorney for Plaintiff
8	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
	* * *
11	
12	ANALTED STATES OF ALTERISA
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 2:18-mj-347-PAL
13) Disingliff
14	Plaintiff,)
	vs.
15)
16	VLADIMIR ALEXANDER AYALA-)
	MELARA,
17)
18	Defendant.
10	
19	
,,	
20	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRELIMINARY HEARING
21	IT IC HEDEDY CTIDIH ATED AND A CREED 1 11 (D 1 EV 11)
,	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Dayle Elieson, Unite
22	States Attorney, and Phillip N. Smith, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for th
23	United States of America, and Thomas A. Ericsson, Esq., counsel for Defendant VLADIMII
24	ALEXANDER AYALA-MELARA, that the preliminary hearing for the above-captioned matter

This stipulation is entered for the following reasons:

25

26

27

28

currently scheduled for May 10, 2018, at the hour of 4:00 p.m., be vacated and continued to a

date and time convenient for this Court, but in no event earlier than thirty (30) days.

Case 2:18-mj-00347-PAL Document 15 Filed 05/09/18 Page 2 of 3

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27
- 1. The Government is endeavoring to make an early production of discovery to the Defendant in an effort to reach a pre-indictment plea agreement, and counsel for the Defendant needs an opportunity to review the discovery and discuss it with the Defendant.
- The parties are researching the viability of entering into a plea agreement 2. considering the existence of a much larger investigation. Said plea agreement would obviate the need for either a preliminary hearing in this matter or for the Government to present this matter to a federal grand jury. Counsel for the Defendant will need additional time to discuss the Defendant's options with him.
 - 3. The Defendant is in custody, but he does not object to the continuance.
- 4. Denial of this request for continuance of the preliminary hearing would potentially prejudice both the Defendant and the Government and unnecessarily consume this Court's valuable resources, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the defendant must be indicted and the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(b) and 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).
- 7. This is the second request for a continuance of the preliminary hearing herein. DATED: May 9, 2018.

THOMAS A. ERICSSON, ESQ. PHILLIP N. SMITH, JR. Assistant United States Attorney Counsel for the Defendant Counsel for the United States

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

j-347-PAL R CONTINUING
R CONTINUING
MINARY HEARING

ORDER

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, that the preliminary hearing in the above-captioned matter, currently scheduled for May 10, 2018, at the hour of 4:00 p.m., be vacated and continued to June 11, 2018 at the hour of 4:00 p.m.

UNITED SATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE